

RESEARCH

Open Access



Occurrence of Aflatoxin B₁, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone in feeds in China during 2018–2020

Ling Zhao¹, Lei Zhang¹, Zijian Xu¹, Xingda Liu², Liyuan Chen³, Jiefan Dai⁴, Niel Alexander Karrow⁵ and Lvhui Sun^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The current study was conducted to investigate the individual and combined occurrence of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone (ZEN) in feeds from various Provinces of China during 2018 to 2020. A total of 3,507 feed samples, including 2,090 feed ingredients and 1,417 complete feed samples, were collected from different areas of China for mycotoxins analysis.

Results: The individual contamination of AFB₁, DON and ZEN were present in more than 81.9%, 96.4% and 96.9% of feed samples, respectively, with average concentration ranges of AFB₁ between 1.2–27.4 µg/kg, DON between 458.0–1,925.4 µg/kg and ZEN between 48.1–326.8 µg/kg. Notably, 0.9%, 0.5% and 0.1% of feed ingredients, and 1.2–12.8%, 0.9–2.9% and 0–8.9% of complete feeds for pigs, poultry and ruminants with AFB₁, ZEN and DON that exceeded China's safety standards, respectively. Moreover, more than 81.5% of feed ingredients and 95.7% of complete feeds were co-contaminated with various combinations of these mycotoxins.

Conclusion: This study indicates that the feeds in China were universally contaminated with AFB₁, DON and ZEN during the past 3 years. These findings highlight the significance of monitoring mycotoxin contaminant levels in the domestic animal feed, and the importance of carrying out feed administration and remediation strategies for mycotoxin control.

Keywords: Aflatoxin B₁, China, Deoxynivalenol, Feeds, Zearalenone

Introduction

Mycotoxins are naturally toxic secondary metabolites produced by various molds, including *Aspergillus*, *Alternaria*, *Claviceps*, *Fusarium* and *Penicillium* [1]. More than 500 mycotoxins have been identified to date [2]. Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone (ZEN) are recognized as the primary toxins occurring in agricultural commodities, such as maize, peas, peanuts, wheat, barley, millet, nuts, oily feedstuffs, forage, and their by-products [3–5]. Mainly generated by *Aspergillus*, AFB₁ is the most

lethal toxin, exhibiting hepatotoxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, and teratogenic properties in animals and humans [6–8]. Both DON and ZEN are primarily generated by *Fusarium* molds. DON is a type B trichothecene, which can cause anorexia, emesis, and impairs intestinal and immune function by inhibiting nucleic acid and protein synthesis in livestock [5, 9–11], while ZEN is an estrogenic mycotoxin, that can induce reproductive and fertility disorders by competing with 17 β-estradiol for estrogen receptor binding [11–14].

Since mycotoxins can affect animal production, as well as product quality and safety, safety standards for mycotoxins in feedstuffs and feed have been established world-wide. For example, the European Commission set limitations of AFB₁, DON, and ZEN at 5–20 µg/kg,

* Correspondence: lvhunsun@mail.hzau.edu.cn

¹Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, College of Animal Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

900 µg/kg, and 250 µg/kg, respectively, for all kinds of feedstuffs and feed [15, 16]. In 2017, China's General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine released the latest version of safety standards (GB 13078–2017) for AFB₁, DON, and ZEN; which are 10–20 µg/kg, 1,000–5,000 µg/kg, and 100–250 µg/kg, respectively, for feedstuffs and complete feeds (Table 1) [17].

Global climate change is increasing crop susceptibility to fungal infection, which is further causing increased mycotoxin contamination of staple cereals [18, 19]. China's agriculture sector is highly susceptible to mycotoxin contamination in several climatic regions across the country; for example, the warm or humid conditions of the Yangtze, Yellow River basins and northeast region and their numerous rainfall events, are favorable for mold growth and mycotoxin production in crops [20–22]. Therefore, monitoring mycotoxin concentrations in the feedstuffs and complete feeds from these and other regions across China is essential to prevent farm animal

exposure to mycotoxins and to ensure feed and food safety. Thus, the current study was conducted in order to investigate the individual and combined contamination of AFB₁, DON and ZEN in feedstuffs and complete feeds collected from different regions of China.

Materials and methods

Samples collection and preparation

A total of 3,507 feeds samples were collected during 2018 to 2020 from either feed companies or livestock farms in different regions of China. There were 2,090 feedstuff samples including 699 corn, 127 dried distillers grains with soluble, 61 corn germ meal, 68 corn bran, 26 corn gluten meal, 171 wheat, 108 wheat middling, 275 wheat bran, 17 wheat flour, 177 soybean meal, 24 soybean bran, 33 rapeseed meal, 41 peanut meal, 79 fish meal, 125 grass grain, 41 unite bran, 18 rice bran, along with 1,417 complete feed samples including 620 pig feed, 572 poultry feed and 225 ruminant feed. These feed samples were primarily collected from the provinces of

Table 1 China's feed safety standards for Aflatoxin B₁, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone

Feeds	Maximum limit, µg/kg
Aflatoxin B ₁	
Corn by-products and peanut cake	50
Vegetable oil (except corn oil and peanut oil)	10
Corn oil and peanut oil	20
Other plant feed ingredients	30
Complete feeds for young pigs and poultries	10
Growing complete feeds for boilers and meat duck and laying ducks	15
Concentrate supplement for calf, lamb and lactation period	20
Concentrate supplement for lactation period	10
Concentrate supplement for others	30
Other complete feeds	20
Deoxynivalenol	
Plant feed ingredients	5,000
Concentrate supplement for calf, lamb and lactation period	1,000
Concentrate supplement for others	3,000
Complete feeds for pigs	1,000
Other complete feeds	3,000
Zearalenone	
Corn and its by-products (except corn bran and corn steep powder)	500
Corn bran and corn steep powder	1,500
Other plant feed ingredients	1,000
Concentrate supplement for calf, lamb and lactation period	500
Complete feed for young pigs	150
Complete feed for young gilts	100
Other complete feeds for pigs	250
Other complete feeds	500

Anhui, Beijing, Chongqing, Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, Gansu, Henan, Hebei, Hunan, Hubei, Heilongjiang, Inner Mongolia, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Jilin, Liaoning, Ningxia, Shandong, Sichuan, Shanxi, and Zhejiang. Since few feed samples with insufficient quantity, 3,500, 3,507 and 3,499 samples were analyzed for AFB₁, DON and ZEN, respectively. The feed samples were stored in sealing bags at -20 °C before analysis.

Extraction of mycotoxins from samples

AFB₁, DON and ZEN were extracted from the feed samples as previously described [4, 22, 23]. Briefly, 25 g of the mashed feed samples were mixed with a 100 mL solution of methanol: water (80, 20, v/v), methanol: water (60, 40, v/v) and acetonitrile: water (84, 16, v/v) for AFB₁, DON and ZEN isolation, respectively. The samples were blended using a commercial blender at high speed for 3 min and filtered using a Mycosep® #226 column (Romer Labs. Inc., Singapore). The solvent extracts were diluted with phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS, pH 7.4), then washed with PBS and methanol-water solution through immunoaffinity columns; AokinImmunoClean CF AFLA and CF DON (Aokin AG, Germany) for AFB₁ and DON, respectively, and ZearaStar (Romer Labs, Austria) for ZEN. Finally, the mycotoxins were eluted from the columns using methanol, and concentrated to dryness under a nitrogen air stream. The mycotoxin residues were then re-dissolved in a mobile phase described below, filtered through a Millex PTFE 0.22 µm filter (Merck, Tianjin, China), and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

HPLC analysis

The mycotoxins were quantified followed the national standard methods as previously described [4, 22–25]. Briefly, AFB₁ concentrations were measured with a reverse-phase HPLC/fluorescence detection system (Agilent 1260, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with a 360 nm excitation and 440 nm emission fluorescence detector. A C₁₈ column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 µm, Dikma, Shanghai, China) was employed with the limit of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) set at 0.5 µg/kg and 1.5 µg/kg, respectively. A mobile phase of methanol: water: acetonitrile (30, 60, 10, v/v/v) was used for AFB₁ analysis at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, and the column temperature was set at 30 °C. DON and ZEN concentrations were measured using a Shimadzu LC-20A binary gradient liquid chromatograph (Shimadzu Europa GmbH, Duisburg, Germany) equipped with a C₁₈ (4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 µm) reverse-phase column (ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C18, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). The mobile phase for DON analysis consisted of methanol: water solution (20, 80, v/v) at a flow rate of

0.8 mL/min under UV light at a wavelength of 218 nm [24], and the LOD and LOQ for DON were 100 µg/kg and 260 µg/kg, respectively. A mobile phase of methanol: water: acetonitrile (8, 46, 46, v/v/v) was used for ZEN analysis at a flow rate of 1 mL/min under 274 nm excitation and 440 nm emission wavelengths [25]; the LOD and LOQ for ZEN were 10 µg/kg and 24 µg/kg, respectively. The blank samples are the solvents that were used to dissolve standard samples before HPLC analysis. LOD and LOQ correspond to the amount of analyte for which the signal-to-noise ratio is equal to 3 and 10 [26, 27], respectively, with a minor adjustment according to our previous study [23].

Statistical analysis

All the data were analyzed by the Microsoft Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA) and expressed as means, median, maximum, or percentages.

Results

Occurrence of AFB₁ in feeds

A total of 3,500 feed samples, including 2,083 feedstuff and 1,417 complete feeds, were collected between 2018 and 2020 for analysis of AFB₁ (Table 2). AFB₁ was detected in 81.9–100% of feedstuff and complete feeds, with the average levels ranging from 1.2–27.4 µg/kg. The highest median concentration of AFB₁ was 32.0 µg/kg in peanut meal from the 2019 harvest, followed by 15.6 µg/kg in corn bran from 2020 and 10.8 µg/kg in complete ruminant feed from the 2019 harvest. The maximum levels of AFB₁ were 221 µg/kg in corn harvested in both 2018 and 2019, followed by 77.5 µg/kg in both ruminant complete feed from 2018 and wheat middling from 2019, and 68.7 µg/kg in corn bran from 2018. Only 18 raw feed ingredient samples, which account for 0.9% of all the analyzed feedstuffs, were contaminated with AFB₁ at concentrations over the Chinese safety standard concentration of 250 µg/kg (Table 1). Notably, 9 samples of complete pig feed, 7 samples of complete poultry feed and 29 samples of complete ruminant feed, which account for 1.5%, 1.2% and 12.8% of all the analyzed samples were contaminated with AFB₁ at levels exceeding Chinese safety standard concentrations (Table 1).

Occurrence of DON in feeds

A total of 3,507 samples, including 2090 feedstuffs and 1,417 complete feeds, were collected during 2018–2020 for DON analysis (Table 3). DON was detected in 96.4–100% of feedstuffs and complete feeds, with the mean values ranging from 458.0–1,925.4 µg/kg. The highest median concentration of DON was 1,529.7 µg/kg found in wheat middling harvested during 2018, followed by 1,449.5 µg/kg in grass grain collected in 2018, 1,370.6–1,381.5 µg/kg in wheat bran harvested

Table 2 Aflatoxin B₁ concentrations in feeds^a

Item	Year	NO. of samples	Positive samples, µg/kg				Numbers of samples in the range, µg/kg					The rate of over standard, %
			%	Mean	Median	Maximum	< 0.5	0.5–10	10–30	30–50	> 50	
Corn	2018	229	95.6	4.4	1.9	221.0	10	210	5	0	4	1.7
	2019	249	81.9	3.9	2.1	221.0	45	197	6	0	1	0.4
	2020	215	98.1	3.7	3.4	11.8	4	208	3	0	0	0
Dried distillers grains with soluble	2018	82	100	7.9	5.2	45.8	0	55	26	1	0	0
	2019	22	100	7.8	6.2	17.9	0	14	8	0	0	0
	2020	23	95.7	4.9	4.2	11.2	1	21	1	0	0	0
Corn germ meal	2018	28	100	7.0	3.5	40.2	0	24	2	2	0	0
	2019	23	100	7.1	3.3	22.4	0	16	7	0	0	0
	2020	10	100	7.5	4.6	30.8	0	8	1	1	0	0
Corn bran	2018	33	100	9.4	3.6	68.7	0	26	4	2	1	3.0
	2019	19	100	4.3	3.3	17.9	0	18	1	0	0	0
	2020	16	100	8.6	7.3	29.3	0	11	5	0	0	0
Corn gluten meal	2018	21	100	5.0	2.5	27.9	0	19	2	0	0	0
	2019	4	100	7.8	3.9	21.1	0	3	1	0	0	0
	2020	1	100	15.6	15.6	15.6	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wheat	2018	110	99.1	2.8	2.7	7.0	1	109	0	0	0	0
	2019	34	97.1	3.4	3.2	8.2	1	33	0	0	0	0
	2020	27	100	3.6	2.9	11.4	0	26	1	0	0	0
Wheat middling	2018	34	100	2.7	2.8	4.1	0	34	0	0	0	0
	2019	46	100	6.5	2.8	77.5	0	43	1	0	2	4.3
	2020	28	100	3.1	3.1	6.4	0	28	0	0	0	0
Wheat bran	2018	148	100	6.1	3.7	57.4	0	125	20	2	1	2.0
	2019	86	100	4.3	3.4	12.3	0	81	5	0	0	0
	2020	41	100	4.5	3.5	25.5	0	38	3	0	0	0
Soybean meal	2018	118	100	2.3	2.1	5.7	0	118	0	0	0	0
	2019	23	100	2.8	2.3	6.1	0	23	0	0	0	0
	2020	36	100	2.7	2.6	7.5	0	36	0	0	0	0
Wheat flour	2019	4	100	3.2	3.2	5.0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	2020	13	100	3.0	3.3	5.5	0	13	0	0	0	0
Soybean bran	2018	1	100	1.8	1.8	1.8	0	1	0	0	0	0
	2019	3	100	3.2	3.8	4.2	0	3	0	0	0	0
	2020	19	100	4.1	3.4	9.3	0	19	0	0	0	0
Rapeseed meal	2018	24	100	8.5	6.8	14.9	0	16	8	0	0	0
	2019	4	100	7.3	6.1	12.2	0	3	1	0	0	0
	2020	5	100	3.7	3.6	5.6	0	5	0	0	0	0
Peanut meal	2018	27	100	23.1	13.7	59.7	0	9	9	5	4	14.8
	2019	5	100	27.4	32.0	40.7	0	1	1	3	0	0
	2020	9	100	12.7	13.6	21.1	0	4	5	0	0	0
Fish meal	2018	67	100	1.2	1.1	2.5	0	67	0	0	0	0
	2019	12	100	1.2	1.2	1.7	0	12	0	0	0	0
Grass grain	2018	68	100	7.9	6.2	45.7	0	61	4	3	0	4.4
	2019	41	100	4.1	3.8	9.2	0	41	0	0	0	0

Table 2 Aflatoxin B₁ concentrations in feeds^a (Continued)

Item	Year	NO. of samples	Positive samples, µg/kg				Numbers of samples in the range, µg/kg					The rate of over standard, %
			%	Mean	Median	Maximum	< 0.5	0.5–10	10–30	30–50	> 50	
Unite bran	2020	16	100	5.3	5.1	14.0	0	15	1	0	0	0
	2018	12	100	6.3	6.3	7.8	0	12	0	0	0	0
	2019	14	100	4.0	3.5	9.2	0	14	0	0	0	0
Rice bran	2020	15	100	3.4	2.9	11.8	0	14	1	0	0	0
	2019	4	100	7.5	5.6	15.3	0	3	1	0	0	0
Complete pig feed	2020	14	100	3.7	3.3	7.4	0	14	0	0	0	0
	2018	317	100	4.9	3.2	59.7	0	295	17	1	4	2.5
	2019	214	100	4.0	2.9	20.9	0	197	17	0	0	0.5
Complete poultry feed	2020	89	100	3.5	3.0	12.3	0	86	3	0	0	0
	2018	248	99.6	4.5	3.4	57.4	1	231	15	0	1	1.2
	2019	144	100	5.7	4.3	31.5	0	127	15	2	0	2.8
Complete ruminant feed	2020	179	100	4.6	4.0	15.6	0	166	13	0	0	0
	2018	117	100	8.5	3.8	77.5	0	99	9	3	6	8.5
	2019	47	100	15.4	10.8	44.3	0	22	15	10	0	40.4
	2020	62	100	4.7	4.2	12.7	0	59	3	0	0	0

^aPositive samples are defined as those with aflatoxin B₁ ≥ 0.5 µg/kg (LOD)

during 2018 and 2019, and 1,346.6–1,367.8 µg/kg in dried distillers grains with soluble from 2018 and 2020. The maximum contamination of DON was 9,186.4 µg/kg in wheat middlings harvested in 2018, followed by 6,430.6 µg/kg in dried distillers grains with soluble from 2018, 4,985.2 µg/kg in corn bran from 2018, and 4,505.0 µg/kg in rice bran from 2019. Only 2 samples, 1 wheat middling and 1 dried distillers grains with soluble, were contaminated with DON at concentrations over 5,000 µg/kg. However, 55 complete pig feed samples, which account for 8.9% of all the complete pig feed samples, were contaminated with DON at levels over the Chinese safety standard concentration of 1,000 µg/kg (Table 1).

Occurrence of ZEN in feeds

A total of 3,499 samples, including 2,089 feedstuffs and 1,415 complete feeds, were collected during 2018–2020 for ZEN analysis (Table 4). ZEN was detected in 96.9–100% of feedstuffs and complete feeds, with the mean concentrations ranging from 48.1–326.8 µg/kg. The highest median value of ZEN was 326.8 µg/kg in corn gluten meal from 2020, followed by 226.0 µg/kg in corn germ meal from 2020, and 168.5 µg/kg in rice bran from 2019. The maximum concentrations of ZEN were 1,599.0 µg/kg found in both grass grain and complete pig feed from 2019, followed by 956.7 µg/kg in dried distillers grains with soluble from 2019, and 906.9 µg/kg in both wheat middlings and complete ruminant feed from 2018 and 2019. A total of 10 feedstuffs and 27 complete

feed samples, which account for 0.5% and 1.9% of all the analyzed feedstuffs and complete feed samples, respectively, were contaminated with ZEN at levels over the Chinese safety standard concentration (Table 1).

Co-occurrence of AFB₁, DON and ZEN in feeds

The co-occurrence of AFB₁, DON and ZEN in feedstuffs and complete feed samples during 2018–2020 were presented in Table 5. The co-occurrence of AFB₁ + DON, AFB₁ + ZEN, DON + ZEN, and AFB₁ + DON + ZEN in feed ingredients ranged from 81.9–100%, 81.5–100%, 96.1–100% and 81.5–100%, respectively. Notably, the co-contaminates of AFB₁ + DON, AFB₁ + ZEN, DON + ZEN, along with AFB₁ + DON + ZEN in complete feeds ranged from 97.8–100%, 97.8–100%, 95.7–100% and 95.7–100%, respectively.

Discussion

The present study was carried out to investigate the individual and combined contamination of the most prevalent and toxic mycotoxins, AFB₁, DON and ZEN, in feedstuffs and complete feeds harvested from various regions of China between 2018 and 2020. In general, the three analyzed mycotoxins displayed a considerably high occurrence in the analyzed feed samples, ranging from 81.9–100%, 96.4–100%, and 96.9–100% for AFB₁, DON and ZEN, respectively. The average concentration of AFB₁ (1.2–27.4 µg/kg) determined in this study was lower than formerly reported concentrations (0.4–627 µg/kg) from samples harvested between 2013 and

Table 3 Deoxynivalenol concentrations in feeds^a

Item	Year	NO. of samples	Positive samples, µg/kg				Numbers of samples in the range, µg/kg				The rate of over standard, %
			%	Mean	Median	Maximum	< 100	100–1000	1000–5000	> 5000	
Corn	2018	229	98.7	574.5	547.3	1,839.2	3	212	14	0	0
	2019	255	99.6	627.1	615.5	1,525.7	1	231	23	0	0
	2020	215	100	686.3	630.8	3,343.6	0	187	28	0	0
Dried distillers grains with soluble	2018	82	100	1,439.2	1,367.8	6,430.6	0	30	51	1	1.2
	2019	22	100	1,171.7	1,057.1	3,004.3	0	9	13	0	0
	2020	23	100	1,570.9	1,346.6	3,343.6	0	5	18	0	0
Corn germ meal	2018	28	100	681.2	649.3	1,741.9	0	26	2	0	0
	2019	23	100	898.8	759.4	2,642.8	0	19	4	0	0
	2020	10	100	1,342.0	908.3	4,039.4	0	5	5	0	0
Corn bran	2018	33	100	1,240.4	939.9	4,985.2	0	19	14	0	0
	2019	19	100	1,093.4	890.2	4,278.4	0	11	8	0	0
	2020	16	100	1,349.2	1,017.7	2,927.9	0	8	8	0	0
Corn gluten meal	2018	21	100	4,58.0	430.7	846.6	0	21	0	0	0
	2019	4	100	504.6	469.8	680.2	0	4	0	0	0
	2020	1	100	741.0	741.0	741.0	0	1	0	0	0
Wheat	2018	110	100	887.6	773.8	2,035.7	0	77	33	0	0
	2019	34	100	775.4	769.6	1,738.2	0	27	7	0	0
	2020	27	100	723.4	678.2	2,790.1	0	25	2	0	0
Wheat middling	2018	34	100	1,925.4	1,529.7	9,186.4	0	4	29	1	2.9
	2019	46	100	983.3	905.6	2,638.7	0	30	16	0	0
	2020	28	96.4	774.6	585.4	2,356.3	1	20	7	0	0
Wheat bran	2018	148	100	1,447.9	1,370.6	1,665.4	0	49	99	0	0
	2019	86	100	1,388.5	1,381.5	3,650.8	0	29	57	0	0
	2020	41	97.6	1,356.1	1,235.3	3,370.5	1	17	23	0	0
Soybean meal	2018	118	98.3	516.9	510.2	967.0	2	116	0	0	0
	2019	23	100	459.6	487.2	659.6	0	23	0	0	0
	2020	36	97.2	530.5	532.5	1,140.6	1	34	1	0	0
Wheat flour	2019	4	100	700.7	698.1	1,151.3	0	3	1	0	0
	2020	13	100	482.1	426.4	855.6	0	13	0	0	0
Soybean bran	2018	1	100	664.0	664.0	664.0	0	1	0	0	0
	2019	4	100	783.7	749.6	1,068.7	0	3	1	0	0
	2020	19	100	1,274.6	1,062.6	2,741.0	0	9	10	0	0
Rapeseed meal	2018	24	100	691.9	622.5	1,321.3	0	20	4	0	0
	2019	4	100	482.7	416.5	785.9	0	4	0	0	0
	2020	5	100	629.7	650.2	701.4	0	5	0	0	0
Peanut meal	2018	27	100	796.3	765.3	1,576.7	0	21	6	0	0
	2019	5	100	1,045.1	1,034.8	1,203.4	0	1	4	0	0
	2020	9	100	603.4	695.4	830.9	0	9	0	0	0
Fish meal	2018	67	100	520.8	469.7	1,082.8	0	66	1	0	0
	2019	12	100	534.2	512.3	956.6	0	12	0	0	0
Grass grain	2018	68	100	1,625.7	1,449.5	4,079.1	0	18	50	0	0
	2019	41	100	1,101.6	968.0	3,712.2	0	22	19	0	0

Table 3 Deoxynivalenol concentrations in feeds^a (Continued)

Item	Year	NO. of samples	Positive samples, µg/kg				Numbers of samples in the range, µg/kg				The rate of over standard, %
			%	Mean	Median	Maximum	< 100	100–1000	1000–5000	> 5000	
Unite bran	2020	16	100	994.5	1,011.1	1,550.5	0	8	8	0	0
	2018	12	100	801.5	697.9	1,632.6	0	9	3	0	0
	2019	14	100	672.3	606.2	1,781.3	0	13	1	0	0
Rice bran	2020	15	100	754.6	676.9	1,240.4	0	9	6	0	0
	2019	4	100	1,613.8	744.9	4,505.0	0	3	1	0	0
	2020	14	100	682.1	582.7	1,549.0	0	11	3	0	0
Complete pig feed	2018	317	99.4	572.0	536.8	2,158.6	2	293	22	0	6.9
	2019	214	99.5	744.8	657.1	3,712.2	1	184	29	0	13.6
	2020	89	100	661.0	678.4	1,197.8	0	85	4	0	4.5
Complete poultry feed	2018	248	99.2	539.3	527.0	1,261.5	2	241	5	0	0
	2019	144	100	636.5	542.6	2,638.7	0	124	20	0	0
	2020	180	100	806.6	767.4	2,970.1	0	147	33	0	0
Complete ruminant feed	2018	117	100	640.6	574.3	1,368.1	0	103	14	0	0
	2019	46	97.8	752.2	732.7	2,254.7	1	36	9	0	0
	2020	62	100	863.4	804.2	2,613.7	0	46	16	0	0

^aPositive samples are defined as those with deoxynivalenol ≥100 µg/kg (LOD)

2015 in China [21, 22], while higher than concentrations (1.6–10.0 µg/kg) from samples harvested between 2016 and 2017 in China [4]. Although only 0.9% of the analyzed raw feed ingredients (corn, corn bran, wheat middling, wheat bran, peanut meal, and grass grain) with AFB₁ exceeded the Chinese safety standard concentration, 1.5%, 1.2% and 12.8% of all the analyzed final products for pig, poultry and ruminant contained AFB₁ over the limitation of Chinese safety standard. These results are much higher than the previously reported that 1.0% analyzed feed samples with AFB₁ exceeded China’s safety standards [4]. These divergences could be due to the fact that the analyzed feed samples were randomly gathered from different regions, and weather varies in these areas during the harvest period. Owing to AFB₁ is the most toxic mycotoxin [6, 28, 29], it is important to persist in supervising the concentration of AFB₁ in the raw feed ingredients and final products in the future.

The occurrence and level of DON in the analyzed feed samples in this study were quite high. The percentage of positive samples of DON was 96.4–100%, which is higher than the previously reported 50.0–100% in feeds collected in China during 2013–2017 [4, 21, 22]. The average concentration of DON in feeds ranged between 458.0–1,925.4 µg/kg, which is relatively lower than the previously reported range of 364.5–4,381.5 µg/kg in the feeds collected in China between 2013 and 2017 [4, 21, 22]. Although only 0.1% of analyzed feed ingredients contaminated with DON exceeded China’s safety standards, 8.9% of the complete pig feed samples that were

contaminated with DON over the limitation of the safety standards of China. These findings remind us that we need to be cognizant of the potential for contamination of the raw feed ingredients, including corn bran, dried distillers grains with soluble, wheat middling, wheat bran, and grass grain, which were relatively severely contaminated by DON with an average concentrations more than 1,000 µg/kg.

The occurrence of ZEN (96.9–100%) in the analyzed feed samples in the current study was higher than the previously reported (50.0–100%) from harvests between 2013 and 2017 [4, 21, 22]. However, the concentration of ZEN (48.1–326.8 µg/kg) in the analyzed feed samples was relatively lower in this study than the previously reported (0–729.2 µg/kg) from harvests between 2013 and 2017 [4, 21, 22]. These differences could be due to the various sampling regions and different weather conditions during the harvest periods. Notably, 0.5% of all the analyzed feedstuff samples, including corn, corn gluten meal, corn germ meal and grass grain, were contaminated with ZEN at concentrations that exceeded the Chinese safety standard level. Meanwhile, 2.9%, 1.2% and 0.9% of all the analyzed complete feeds for pig, poultry and ruminant contained ZEN that exceeded the regulatory limits in China; this finding was much lower than previously reported, whereby 10.7% of the complete pig feeds were shown to be contaminated with ZEN exceeding the regulatory limits [4].

Mycotoxins co-contamination can exert additive and synergistic toxic effects, which have been well-

Table 4 Zearalenone concentrations in feeds^a

Item	Year	NO. of samples	Positive samples, µg/kg				Numbers of samples in the range, µg/kg				The rate of over standard, %
			%	Mean	Median	Maximum	< 10	10–250	250–500	500–2000	
Corn	2018	229	96.9	68.2	47.3	480.8	7	214	8	0	0
	2019	255	99.6	62.0	48.3	320.0	1	251	3	0	0
	2020	215	100	140.7	108.1	822.0	0	182	28	5	2.3
Dried distillers grains with soluble	2018	82	100	141.1	113.8	614.6	0	72	7	3	0
	2019	22	100	214.0	149.1	956.7	0	13	8	1	0
	2020	23	100	144.9	96.1	350.1	0	18	5	0	0
Corn germ meal	2018	28	100	135.1	64.5	706.7	0	24	2	2	7.1
	2019	23	100	144.9	108.8	416.8	0	18	5	0	0
	2020	10	100	250.1	226.0	561.1	0	5	4	1	10
Corn bran	2018	33	100	146.9	79.7	742.6	0	27	4	2	0
	2019	19	100	105.7	73.7	343.8	0	17	2	0	0
	2020	16	100	183.5	140.6	475.2	0	12	4	0	0
Corn gluten meal	2018	21	100	105.6	69.4	505.7	0	19	1	1	4.8
	2019	4	100	54.9	39.4	116.6	0	4	0	0	0
	2020	1	100	326.8	326.8	326.8	0	0	1	0	0
Wheat	2018	110	99.1	100	83.4	573.7	1	106	2	1	0
	2019	34	97.1	61.2	45.5	210.8	1	33	0	0	0
	2020	27	100	104.9	72.7	369.1	0	24	3	0	0
Wheat middling	2018	34	100	87.7	77.9	190.3	0	34	0	0	0
	2019	45	100	105.9	72.0	906.9	0	42	2	1	0
	2020	28	100	132.9	94.1	852.8	0	26	1	1	0
Wheat bran	2018	148	100	92.0	81.8	280.6	0	146	2	0	0
	2019	85	100	91.5	83.4	346.3	0	83	2	0	0
	2020	41	100	170.6	108.1	604.8	0	32	7	2	0
Soybean meal	2018	118	100	74.3	66.2	339.9	0	116	2	0	0
	2019	23	100	91.1	54.8	522.0	0	21	1	1	0
	2020	36	100	79.7	51.7	288.8	0	34	2	0	0
Wheat flour	2019	4	100	54.0	48.9	87.9	0	4	0	0	0
	2020	13	100	67.5	64.2	207.2	0	13	0	0	0
Soybean bran	2018	1	100	48.1	48.1	48.1	0	1	0	0	0
	2019	4	100	96.3	90.3	176.8	0	4	0	0	0
	2020	19	100	177.7	113.5	826.8	0	14	5	0	0
Rapeseed meal	2018	24	100	79.9	66.2	336.3	0	23	1	0	0
	2019	4	100	59.3	50.9	92.1	0	4	0	0	0
	2020	5	100	58.3	47.8	85.3	0	5	0	0	0
Peanut meal	2018	27	100	76.1	76.9	118.1	0	27	0	0	0
	2019	5	100	89.4	79.3	118.1	0	5	0	0	0
	2020	9	100	105.8	77.3	227.9	0	9	0	0	0
Fish meal	2018	67	100	54.3	48.8	175.9	0	67	0	0	0
	2019	12	100	50.2	31.3	175.9	0	12	0	0	0
Grass grain	2018	66	100	115.9	88.2	614.6	0	62	2	2	0
	2019	40	100	125.4	74.3	1,599.0	0	38	1	1	2.5

Table 4 Zearalenone concentrations in feeds^a (Continued)

Item	Year	NO. of samples	Positive samples, µg/kg				Numbers of samples in the range, µg/kg				The rate of over standard, %
			%	Mean	Median	Maximum	< 10	10–250	250–500	500–2000	
Unite bran	2020	16	100	206.1	140.8	674.2	0	11	4	1	0
	2018	12	100	60.8	45.9	127.2	0	12	0	0	0
	2019	13	100	117.2	66.5	478.1	0	12	1	0	0
Rice bran	2020	15	100	109.5	95.9	278.7	0	14	1	0	0
	2019	4	100	176.9	168.5	299.3	0	3	1	0	0
Complete pig feed	2020	14	100	75.8	62.3	278.7	0	13	1	0	0
	2018	317	98.4	67.2	48.1	513.0	5	301	9	2	3.5
	2019	213	100	84.3	65.6	1,599.0	0	209	2	2	1.9
Complete poultry feed	2020	89	100	93.1	91.6	268.1	0	88	1	0	3.4
	2018	248	99.6	59.8	51.5	331.9	1	245	2	0	0
	2019	144	100	109.2	75.2	622.4	0	133	8	3	2.1
Complete ruminant feed	2020	179	100	155.1	118.8	852.8	0	153	22	4	2.2
	2018	117	100	90.6	50.3	906.9	0	107	8	2	1.7
	2019	46	97.8	79.2	77.5	223.1	1	45	0	0	0
	2020	62	100	124.9	105.5	376.3	0	55	7	0	0

^aPositive samples are defined as those with zearalenone ≥ 10 µg/kg (LOD)

documented [3, 30–34]. Unfortunately, co-contamination of mycotoxins in feeds was extremely universal in this study, with more than 81.5% of feed samples containing 2 or more mycotoxins. Notably, corn bran, corn gluten meal, corn germ meal, wheat bran, wheat flour, unite bran, rice bran, soybean bran, rapeseed meal, peanut meal, fish meal and grass grain were 100% co-contaminated with AFB₁, DON and ZEN. Meanwhile, more than 97.8%, 98.4% and 95.7% complete feeds for pig, poultry and ruminant, respectively, were also co-contaminated with these three mycotoxins. These results were similar to previous reports which showed that mycotoxin co-contamination is a widespread issue in the feed industry [21, 35–38]. Since the present feed safety regulations do not consider the potential toxicity of co-contamination of mycotoxins, their combined toxicity on animal health and production may be underestimated, and the combined toxicity of these mycotoxins warrants further study so that it might be considered when new regulatory limits for mycotoxins are set in the future.

It is also worth noting that the average concentrations of AFB₁ and DON were not different in the analyzed feeds amongst the three harvest years, while the mean levels of ZEN were much higher in most of the feedstuffs and all the complete feeds in the year 2020 in comparison to years 2018 and 2019. Meanwhile, the raw feed ingredients, corn, dried distillers grains with soluble, corn gluten meal, corn germ meal, corn bran, wheat middling, wheat bran, peanut meal, and grass grain, were seriously contaminated with more than one mycotoxin.

Thus, these ingredients need to be regularly monitored. Moreover, strategies for the control of mycotoxins are needed to be seriously considered. Generally, during the pre-harvest, good field and storage management strategies, including crop rotation, variety choice, use of fungicide and antagonistic fungi, temperature, moisture content, humidity of the environment, are important to prevent the mycotoxigenic fungal development and mycotoxin formation [39, 40]. During the post-harvest, physical, chemical and biological approaches have been used to decontaminate mycotoxins from the feedstuffs [39, 40]. So far, the application of binders' adsorption of mycotoxins from the gastrointestinal tract of animals is the most effective way in practice [40, 41]. While development of novel microorganisms or their enzymes used to biodegradation of the mycotoxins is also a promising approach [39, 40, 42].

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study found that AFB₁, DON and ZEN were highly prevalent in all the analyzed feed samples collected from different areas of China between 2018 and 2020. Notably, 0.9%, 0.5% and 0.1% of analyzed raw feed ingredients exceeded China's safety standards for AFB₁, ZEN and DON, respectively. However, much higher ratios of AFB₁ (1.2–12.8%), ZEN (0.9–2.9%) and DON (0–8.9%) in complete feeds for pigs, poultry and ruminant exceeded China's safety standards. Moreover, the co-contamination of AFB₁, DON and ZEN was quite common in both the raw feed ingredients (81.5–100%)

Table 5 Percentage of AFB₁, DON and ZEN co-occurrence in feeds^a

Item	Year	AFB ₁ + DON, %	AFB ₁ + ZEN, %	DON+ZEN, %	AFB ₁ + DON+ZEN, %
Corn	2018	94.8	93.4	96.1	93.0
	2019	81.9	81.5	99.2	81.5
	2020	98.1	98.1	100	98.1
Wheat	2018	99.1	98.2	99.1	98.2
	2019	97.1	94.1	97.1	94.1
	2020	100	100	100	100
Wheat middling	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	96.4	100	96.4	96.4
Wheat bran	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Soybean meal	2018	98.3	100	98.3	98.3
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	97.2	100	97.2	97.2
Soybean bran	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Corn bran	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Corn gluten meal	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Corn germ meal	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Unite bran	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Rapeseed meal	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Peanut meal	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Dried distillers grains with soluble	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	95.7	95.7	100	95.7
Grass grain	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Fish meal	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	100	100	100	100

Table 5 Percentage of AFB₁, DON and ZEN co-occurrence in feeds^a (Continued)

Item	Year	AFB ₁ + DON, %	AFB ₁ + ZEN, %	DON+ZEN, %	AFB ₁ + DON+ZEN, %
Wheat flour	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Rice bran	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Complete pig feed	2018	99.4	98.4	97.8	97.8
	2019	99.5	100	96.7	99.5
	2020	100	100	100	100
Complete poultry feed	2018	99.2	99.2	98.8	98.4
	2019	100	100	100	100
	2020	100	100	100	100
Complete ruminant feed	2018	100	100	100	100
	2019	97.8	97.8	95.7	95.7
	2020	100	100	100	100

^aAFB₁: aflatoxin B₁; DON: deoxynivalenol; ZEN: zearalenone; AFB₁ + DON feeds co-contaminated with AFB₁ and DON; AFB₁ + ZEN feeds co-contaminated with AFB₁ and ZEN; DON+ZEN, feeds co-contaminated with DON and ZEN; AFB₁ + DON+ZEN, feed co-contaminated with AFB₁, DON and ZEN

and complete feed products (95.7–100%). Taken together, these outcomes remind us that, 1) contamination of mycotoxins in feeds needs to be regularly monitored, 2) suitable remediation strategies for mycotoxins need to be applied in the feed industry, and 3) new regulatory limits should consider mycotoxin co-contamination in the feeds.

Abbreviations

AFB₁: Aflatoxin B₁; DON: Deoxynivalenol; ZEN: Zearalenone; HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography; LOD: Limits of detection; LOQ: Limits of quantification.

Authors' contributions

S.L.H. conceptualized and designed this study. Z.L., Z.L., X.Z.J., L.X.D., C.L.Y., and D.J.F. collected and analyzed the data. Z.L., S.L.H., and K.N.A. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This project was supported by the Chinese Natural Science Foundation projects (32072775 and 31772636), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0501207), and Jiangsu Aomai Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors have approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, College of Animal Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, China. ²Guilin Li Yuan Grain and Oil Food Group Co., Ltd, Guilin

541001, Guangxi, China. ³Jiangsu Aomai Bio-Technology Co., Ltd, Nanjing 211226, China. ⁴Department of Agriculture of Sichuan Province, Chengdu 610041, China. ⁵Department of Animal Biosciences, University of Guelph, N1G2W1, Guelph, Canada.

Received: 9 January 2021 Accepted: 9 May 2021

Published online: 10 July 2021

References

- Steyn PS. Mycotoxins, general view, chemistry and structure. *Toxicol Lett.* 1995;82:843–51.
- Haque MA, Wang Y, Shen Z, Li X, Saleemi MK, He C. Mycotoxin contamination and control strategy in human, domestic animal and poultry: a review. *Microb Pathog.* 2020;142:104095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104095>.
- Sun LH, Lei MY, Zhang NY, Zhao L, Krumm CS, Qi DS. Hepatotoxic effects of mycotoxin combinations in mice. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2014;74:289–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2014.10.020>.
- Ma R, Zhang L, Liu M, Su YT, Xie WM, Zhang NY, et al. Individual and combined occurrence of mycotoxins in feed ingredients and complete feeds in China. *Toxins (Basel).* 2018;10:113.
- Ji X, Zhang Q, Zheng W, Yao W. Morphological and molecular response of small intestine to lactulose and hydrogen-rich water in female piglets fed Fusarium mycotoxins contaminated diet. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2019;10(1):9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-019-0320-2>.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans—Overall Evaluation of Carcinogenicity: An Updating of IARC Monographs; IARC: Lyon, France, 1987; Volumes 1–42.
- Sun LH, Zhang NY, Zhu MK, Zhao L, Zhou JC, Qi DS. Prevention of Aflatoxin B₁ Hepatotoxicity by dietary selenium is associated with inhibition of cytochrome P450 Isozymes and up-regulation of 6 Selenoprotein genes in Chick liver. *J Nutr.* 2016;146:655–61.
- Zhang NY, Qi M, Zhao L, Zhu MK, Guo J, Liu J, et al. Curcumin prevents Aflatoxin B₁ Hepatotoxicity by inhibition of cytochrome P450 Isozymes in Chick liver. *Toxins (Basel).* 2016;8(11):327. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins8110327>.
- Shi D, Zhou J, Zhao L, Rong X, Fan Y, Hamid H, et al. Alleviation of mycotoxin biodegradation agent on zearalenone and deoxynivalenol toxicosis in immature gilts. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2018;9(1):42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-018-0255-z>.
- Liu M, Zhang L, Chu XH, Ma R, Wang YW, Liu Q, et al. Effects of deoxynivalenol on the porcine growth performance and intestinal microbiota and potential remediation by a modified HSCAS binder. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2020;141:111373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111373>.

11. Zhang L, Ma R, Zhu MX, Zhang NY, Liu XL, Wang YW, et al. Effect of deoxynivalenol on the porcine acquired immune response and potential remediation by a novel modified HSCAS adsorbent. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2020;138:111187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111187>.
12. Gao X, Xiao ZH, Liu M, Zhang NY, Khalil MM, Gu CQ, et al. Dietary Silymarin supplementation alleviates Zearalenone-induced hepatotoxicity and reproductive toxicity in rats. *J Nutr.* 2018;148(8):1209–16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxy114>.
13. Takemura H, Shim JY, Sayama K, Tsubura A, Zhu BT, Shimoi K. Characterization of the estrogenic activities of zearalenone and zeranol in vivo and in vitro. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2007;103(2):170–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2006.08.008>.
14. Gao X, Sun L, Zhang N, Li C, Zhang J, Xiao Z, et al. Gestational zearalenone exposure causes reproductive and developmental toxicity in pregnant rats and female offspring. *Toxins (Basel).* 2017;9:21.
15. European Commission. Directive 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 May 2002 on undesirable substances in animal feed. *Off J Eur Commun.* 2002;10–22.
16. European Commission. (2006/576/EU) of 17 August 2006 on the Presence of Deoxynivalenol, Zearalenone, Ochratoxin A, T⁻² and HT-2 and Fumonisin in Products Intended for Animal Feeding. Official Journal European Community, 2006.
17. Hygienical Standard for Feeds; GB 13078–2017; National Criterion of China: Beijing, China, 2017.
18. Magan N, Medina A, Aldred D. Possible climate-change effects on mycotoxin contamination of food crops pre-and postharvest. *Plant Pathol.* 2011;60(1):150–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02412.x>.
19. Marroquín-Cardona A, Johnson N, Phillips T, Hayes A. Mycotoxins in a changing global environment—a review. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2014;69:220–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2014.04.025>.
20. Guan S, Gong M, Yin Y, Huang R, Ruan Z, Zhou T, et al. Occurrence of mycotoxins in feeds and feed ingredients in China. *J Food Agric Environ.* 2011;9:163–7.
21. Li R, Wang X, Zhou T, Yang D, Wang Q, Zhou Y. Occurrence of four mycotoxins in cereal and oil products in Yangtze Delta region of China and their food safety risks. *Food Control.* 2014;35(1):117–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.06.042>.
22. Wu L, Li J, Li Y, Li T, He Q, Tang Y, et al. Aflatoxin B1, zearalenone and deoxynivalenol in feed ingredients and complete feed from different province in China. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2016;7(1):63. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-016-0122-8>.
23. Liu J, Sun L, Zhang J, Guo J, Chen L, Qi D, et al. Aflatoxin B1, zearalenone and deoxynivalenol in feed ingredients and complete feed from Central China. *Food Addit Contam Part B.* 2016;9(2):91–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2016.1139003>.
24. National Criterion of China. Determination of deoxynivalenol in food-High performance liquid chromatographic method with immunoaffinitycolumn clean-up. GB 23503-2009. Beijing: Standards Press of China; 2009.
25. National Criterion of China. Determination of zearalenone in food-high performance liquid chromatographic method with immunoaffinity column clean-up. GB 23504–2009. Beijing: Standards Press of China; 2009.
26. Kim DH, Hong SY, Kang JW, Cho SM, Lee KR, An TK, et al. Simultaneous determination of multi-mycotoxins in cereal grains collected from south korea by LC/MS/MS. *Toxins (Basel).* 2017;9:106.
27. Vial J, Jardy A. Experimental comparison of the different approaches to estimate LOD and LOQ of an HPLC method. *Anal Chem.* 1999;71(14):2672–7. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac981179n>.
28. Zhao L, Feng Y, Deng J, Zhang NY, Zhang WP, Liu XL, et al. Selenium deficiency aggravates Aflatoxin B1-induced Immunotoxicity in Chick spleen by regulating 6 Selenoprotein genes and redox/inflammation/apoptotic signaling. *J Nutr.* 2019;149(6):894–901. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxz019>.
29. Deng J, Zhao L, Zhang NY, Karrow NA, Krumm CS, Qi DS, et al. Aflatoxin B1 metabolism: regulation by phase I and II metabolizing enzymes and chemoprotective agents. *Mutat Res.* 2018;778:79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2018.10.002>.
30. Basso K, Gomes F, Bracarense APL. Deoxynivalenol and fumonisin, alone or in combination, induce changes on intestinal junction complexes and in E-cadherin expression. *Toxins (Basel).* 2013;5(12):2341–52. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins5122341>.
31. Smith MC, Madec S, Coton E, Hymery N. Natural co-occurrence of mycotoxins in foods and feeds and their in vitro combined toxicological effects. *Toxins (Basel).* 2016;8:94.
32. Sun LH, Lei MY, Zhang NY, Gao X, Li C, Krumm CS, et al. Individual and combined cytotoxic effects of aflatoxin B1, zearalenone, deoxynivalenol and fumonisin B1 on BRL 3A rat liver cells. *Toxicon.* 2015;95:6–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2014.12.010>.
33. Zhou H, George S, Hay C, Lee J, Qian H, Sun X. Individual and combined effects of Aflatoxin B1, Deoxynivalenol and Zearalenone on HepG2 and RAW 264.7 cell lines. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2017;103:18–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.02.017>.
34. Xu R, Karrow NA, Shandilya UK, Sun LH, Kitazawa H. In-vitro cell culture for efficient assessment of mycotoxin exposure, toxicity and risk mitigation. *Toxins (Basel).* 2020;12:146.
35. Binder E, Tan L, Chin L, Handl J, Richard J. Worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins in commodities, feeds and feed ingredients. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2007;137(3–4):265–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeeds.2007.06.005>.
36. Streit E, Schatzmayr G, Tassis P, Tzika E, Marin D, Taranu I, et al. Current situation of mycotoxin contamination and co-occurrence in animal feed—focus on Europe. *Toxins (Basel).* 2012;4(10):788–809. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins4100788>.
37. Chang H, Kim W, Park JH, Kim D, Kim CR, Chung S, et al. The occurrence of zearalenone in South Korean feedstuffs between 2009 and 2016. *Toxins (Basel).* 2017;9:223.
38. Kochiieru Y, Mankevičienė A, Cesevičienė J, Semaškiene R, Dabkevičius Z, Janavičienė S. The influence of harvesting time and meteorological conditions on the occurrence of Fusarium species and mycotoxin contamination of spring cereals. *J Sci Food Agric.* 2020;100(7):2999–3006. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10330>.
39. Luo Y, Liu X, Li J. Updating techniques on controlling mycotoxins—a review. *Food Control.* 2018;89:123–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.01.016>.
40. Zhu Y, Hassan YI, Watts C, Zhou T. Innovative technologies for the mitigation of mycotoxins in animal feed and ingredients—a review of recent patents. *Anim Feed Sci and Tech.* 2016;216:19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeeds.2016.03.030>.
41. Guo Y, Zhao L, Ma Q, Ji C. Novel strategies for degradation of aflatoxins in food and feed: a review. *Food Res Int.* 2020;140:109878.
42. Zhao L, Feng Y, Wei JT, Zhu MX, Zhang L, Zhang JC, et al. Mitigation effects of bentonite and yeast cell wall binders on AFB1, DON, and OTA induced changes in laying hen performance, egg quality, and health. *Toxins (Basel).* 2021;13:156.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

